UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

00
3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMI
,

Case No. 3:09-cv-10217-DRH -PMF

v.

BAYER CORPORATION, BAYER
HEALTHCARE LLC, BAYER
PHARMACEUTICALS
CORPORATION, BAYER
HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS
INC., BERLEX LABORATORIES, INC.,
BERLEX, INC., JOHN DOE
MANUFACTURERS A-Z,
NIEMAN FOODS, INC., JOHN DOE
DISTRIBUTORS A-Z,

Defendants

Plaintiff,

ORDER

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

Now before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (3:09-cv-10217, Doc. 15) Defendants' Supplement (3:09-cv-10217, Doc. 12) to Notice of Removal (3:09-cv-10217). Defendants failed to include a copy of the summonses, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), in their Notice of Removal. On December 29, 2009 - more than 30 days after

Case 3:09-cv-10217-DRH-PMF Document 21 Filed 01/08/10 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #292

being originally served in this case - Defendants supplemented their Notice of Removal

with a copy of the summonses. Plaintiff asserts that because Defendants filed the

supplement after the 30-day removal period had elapsed it is untimely and should be

stricken.

The Court finds the omission of a copy of the summonses is a minor

technical defect that may be cured even after the expiration of the 30-day removal

period. See e.g. Riehl v. National Mut. Ins. Co., I374 F.2d 739, 742 (7th Cir. 1967)

(omission of copy of complaint from removal notice was a "minor irregularity of no

consequence"); Presnell v. Cottrell, Inc., No. 09-cv-656, 2009 WL 4923808, *4-5 (S.D.

Ill. Dec. 14, 2009) (noting that a technical defect in a notice of removal may be cured by

amendment even after the 30-day removal period); Browne v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co.,

168 F.Supp. 796, 800 (N.D. Ill. 1959) (amendment to petition for removal after

statutory time has passed may be permitted to cure technical defects). Accordingly,

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Supplement to Notice of Removal is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: January 8, 2010

/s/ David&Herndon

Chief Judge

United States District Court